

FOOD SECURITY, DIETARY HABITS, AND GROWTH STATUS AMONG **ADOLESCENTS IN MALAYSIA**

Tan CE¹ and Tung SEH²

¹Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Applied Sciences, UCSI University ²Department of Public Health Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Malaysia Sabah



INTRODUCTION

- 1. 7.1% of all families in Malaysia with children were vulnerable to food insecurity, including both adults and children.¹
- 2. Adolescents with food insecurity have higher chances of hospitalization, nutritional deficiency and mental disorder. 2,3

OBJECTIVE

To study the association between food security with dietary habits and growth status of adolescents in Malaysia.

METHODOLOGY

Study design: Cross Sectional study Sampling technique: Snowball and Convenience sampling Sampling size: 143 adolescents (aged 10-17 years) Research instruments:

- 1. Socio demographics characteristics (by parents)
- Food security status⁴ (by parents) 2.
- Anthropometric measurement (by parents) 3.
- 4. Dietary habits⁵ (by adolescents)

Research Procedure



RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Socio demographic details



45.57±6.87 years old Female (72.7%) Chinese (71.3%) Tertiary Education (58.0%) M40 (61.5%) 2-4 household member (47.6%) Central region (51%)



Secondary education (81.8%)

 14.92 ± 2.24 years old

level

Female (61.5%)

Chinese (71.3%)

Adolescents

Socio demographic details



Adolescents

Food security status



Figure 3: Food security status

Table 2: Association between food security status with parent's sociodem

Variables	X ²	P- Value		
Parent's education level	6.917	0.031		
Monthly household income	12.386	0.002		
*Significant difference	nificant difference at p-value < 0.05			

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

	Food Secure	Food Insecure	X ²	P-value
BMI-for-age			0.390 ^a	0.898
Severely thin/thinness	4 (6.0)	3 (3.9)		
Normal	52 (77.6)	60 (78.9)		
Overweight and obese	11 (16.4)	13 (17.1)		
Height-for-age			0.250	0.617
Severely stunted and stunting	7 (10.4)	10 (13.2)		
Normal	60 (89.6)	66 (86.8)		
Tall or very tall	0 (0)	0 (0)		

a = Fisher's Exact (FE) test was used when cells have expected count less than 5.

Table 2: Association between frequency of snacks in past week with gender differences

ogr	aphic		male	Female	x ²	P-value
	P.	Snacks			4.868	0.027*
	1 - X7-1	Never	33 (60.0)	68 (77.3)		
	value	≥Once/week	22 (40.0)	20 (22.7)		
	0.021	*Significant difference at	p-value < 0.05			
	0.031				• • • • •	1:00
		Significant difference	ces between f	ood No	significant	differences
0.002	security status and s	ociodemograj	phic betw	een food se	ecurity status	
	0.002	facto	rs	Л	and dietary	y habits
		1. Food security s	tatus is not as	sociated with	adolescent	s' anthropom
		parameters.				
0.05		2. Sex differences	affect the sna	cking habits a	among adol	escents.
		3. Food security st	tatus does not	affect adoles	cents' dieta	ry habits.
		4. Socio demograp	phic factors at	ffects the food	l security sta	atus.

CONCLUSION

This study showed inadequate dietary intake occur in food security and food insecure Malaysian adolescents.

REFERENCES

- Suffian, F., & Suffian, F., 2021. Policy Analysis: Food Security in Malaysia Banach, L., 2016. Hospitalization: Are We Missing an Opportunity to Identify Food Insecurity in Children?. Academic Pediatrics, 16(5), 438-445.
- Burke, M., Martini, L., Cayır, E., Hartline-Grafton, H. and Meade, R., 2016. Severity of Household Food Insecurity Is Positively Associated with Mental Disorders among Children and Adolescents in the United States. The Journal of Nutrition, 146(10), 2019-2026. USDA, 2012. 18-items Household Food Security Survey Module | USDA | [online]. Available from: https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/food-security-in-the-t-
- s/survey-tools/#adult [Accessed 5 Jan 2021]
- CDC, 2015. Measuring Children's Height and Weight Accurately At Home | Healthy Weight | CDC [online]. Cdc.gov (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). Available from https://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/childrens_bmi/measuring_children.html [Accessed 7 Jan 2021]

Chinese (71.3%) Secondary level education (81.8%)